



**Proposed Kaipara District Plan:
Form 6 - Further Submission**
Clause 7 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

**If you require any assistance with completing this form,
please contact the District Planning Team:**

Phone: 0800 727 059

or

By Email: districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz

Privacy Act Note

All information provided in your further submission is considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and may be published to progress the process for the private plan change and may be made publicly available.

1. Further Submitter Details <i>(mandatory information)</i>			
Full Name of individual or organisation making further submission:	Eddie Anthony Paunovic		
Contact Person <i>(if different from above)</i>			
Email address:	eddiepaunovic@gmail.com		
Preferred method of contact: <i>(select one)</i>	Email:	☒	
	Post	☐	
Submitter contact phone number:	022 654 5224		
Do you have an Agent acting on your behalf?	Yes	☐	
	No	☒	
Agent name and email address:			
Who is all correspondence to go to?:	Submitter only ☒	Agent only ☐	Both ☒ ☐
2. Request to be heard at hearings			
☐ Yes, I wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission			
☐ No, I do not wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission			
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing	Yes	☐	
	No	☐	
3. Eligibility to make a further submission <i>(for further information on this go to RMA Schedule 1, Clause 8)</i>			
I am (select ONE of the following options:			
☐ A person representing a relevant aspect of public interest.			
☒ A person who has an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has.			
☐ The local authority.			
4. My reasons for selecting the category ticked above are:			
<i>(For example: Any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest would likely include public interest environmental groups.</i> OR <i>Any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has is likely to include owners of land and users of resources directly affected by plan provisions. It is also likely to include iwi and hapu where their interests are directly affected.)</i>			
I own property within an existing lawfully established and complying subdivision that is directly affected by the proposed 500m quarry buffer/setback around Hukatere Quarry. My property interest is therefore greater than that of the general public because the proposed mapping and associated rules may directly affect the use, development, value and regulatory treatment of my property.			

5. Further Submission/s for submission/s being notified on 24 February 2026 on the Proposed Kaipara District Plan:

Submission Point Number:	Submitter Name	Support OR Oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing
<i>Example</i> 123.45	<i>Example</i> John Smith	<i>Example</i> Support	<i>Example</i> I support this because....
152.2	Atlas Quarries Ltd and Hukatere Quarries Ltd	Oppose	I oppose the proposed 500m quarry setback/buffer insofar as it applies to existing lawfully established and already-complying subdivisions and properties. My property is within an existing compliant subdivision. A blanket buffer over existing titles risks unnecessary regulatory burden, uncertainty and additional consent requirements without a demonstrated need for those already-established properties. Relief sought: decline the 500m buffer as proposed, or amend it so existing lawfully established/compliant subdivisions and existing titles are excluded or appropriately protected from additional restrictions. Any controls should be targeted to demonstrated reverse-sensitivity effects. FS115.1
152.5	Atlas Quarries Ltd and Hukatere Quarries Ltd	Oppose	I oppose a blanket rule making sensitive activities within the quarry buffer non-complying, and development within 500m of an established quarry discretionary, where it applies to existing lawful subdivisions and properties. This could impose additional process and cost on owners whose properties were already lawfully created and compliant. Relief sought: do not apply these additional activity-status restrictions retrospectively to existing lawfully established/compliant subdivisions and titles. Any reverse-sensitivity provisions should be proportionate, evidence-based and avoid unnecessary duplication of existing controls. FS115.2

YOU ARE WELCOME TO PROVIDE THE REQUIRED INFORMATION ABOVE OR EXTRA INFORMATION ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF YOU WANT TO

Please return this further submission form and any attachments by:

Post to: Kaipara District Council, Private Bag 1001, Dargaville 0340

OR

Email: districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz

OR

Hand-deliver this further submission to:

Kaipara District Council, 32 Hokianga Road, Dargaville or

Kaipara District Council, 6 Molesworth Drive, Mangawhai

IMPORTANT!

You must serve a copy of your further submission on the original submitter **within five (5) working days after it is served** on Kaipara District Council. You will find the submitter's contact address on their submission.

Meagan Walters

Policy and Planning Administrator | Kaiwhakarite Kaupapa Here, Mahere
Kaipara District Council, Private Bag 1001, Dargaville 0340
DDI: +6494399262 **Mob:** +6421392218 **Freephone:** 0800 727 059
mwalters@kaipara.govt.nz | council@kaipara.govt.nz | www.kaipara.govt.nz



From: Eddie Paunovic <eddiepaunovic@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2026 6:59 AM
To: District Plan Review <districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz>
Subject: Re: Letter notifying of a proposed buffer zone.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Kaipara District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

You don't often get email from eddiepaunovic@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Sir,

I recieved this letter dated 6 August on or about 27 August.

I do not understand the implications associated with this notification.

I have property in the Kauri point development which is within the proposed buffer area and am concerned as to the regulatory implications associated with such a buffer zone.

Please register my objection to this proposed.

Kind regards,
Eddie Paunovic
022 654 5224
eddiepaunovic@gmail.com